

STATE ENGINEER MEMORANDUM

Commissioners: Dry Gulch and Montez Creeks.

I would suggest that instead of a Commissioner being appointed to serve both Forks of the Dry Gulch Creek and Montez Creek as one appointment and expenses of such be kept in one account that the creeks be divided, viz:

1. West Fork of Dry Gulch Creek
2. East Fork of " " " or Cottonwood Creek
3. Montez Creek

That separate appointment be made for each district and a separate account for expenses kept for each district.

West Fork Dry Gulch Creek

The appropriators of the West Fork of Dry Gulch Creek are:

Dry Gulch Irrigation Company, File #711
Monarch Canal & Reservoir Co. (Filing on
normal flow of creek. Filing to
develop a water supply by making a
channel around to Sinks
and filing on Heller Lakes Res.

Perhaps other filings

Estimated expense \$80.00 of which \$40.00 salary and \$40.00 transportation

East Fork of Dry Gulch Creek:

The appropriators on the East Fork are

Dry Gulch Irrigation Company, (Filing Nos. 2524,
2525, 2526, and Reservoir
Filing No. 8157 for which
there is no water being appropri-
ated.

Cedarview Irrigation Co.

Johnnie Starr Reservoir

Perhaps other filings on the normal flow of the
creek.

Patrick H. Miller, Filing on McNemee or McGuire
draw.

Possibly some other appropriators

Estimated expense \$80.00 of which \$40.00 salary and \$40.00 transportation.

Montez Creek:

The appropriators on the Montez Creek are

Matt Zager
San Snyder
Ben G. Dye
Geo. F. Madden (assigned to Ben G. Dye)
Dry Gulch Irrigation Co. (File No. 7087 &
Reservoir Filing No. 7136 which is not
being appropriated.)

Albert Rasmussen
(J.A. Kirkendall , not appropriated)
Possibly Jos. C. Price
John L. O'Brien
A. A. Mitchell
Jos. P. Hacking
Jos. Carne
Ira Bryant

Estimated expense of \$100.00

SAND WASH CREEK COMMISSIONER

Company asking for the appointment of Robert H. C. Marchant.

The only service the Dry Gulch Irrigation Company will receive is in connection with File #6960 originally for 25 c.f.s. and now reduced to 7 c.f.s. and the assessment should be pro-rated to Dry Gulch Company on the basis of the 7 c.f.s. only .

because this point.

Appropriators:

Sand Wash Irrigation Co.

Dry Gulch Irrigation Co.

Oscar Nelson

Nathaniel Mitchell

Potts

Case & Cannon

Maybe some others.